Index

A

Administrative justice

community, 354, 357 generally, vii, 1, 2, 46, 55, 59, 60, 61, 376 system, vii, 1, 54, 59, 60, 61, 78, 85, 86, 140, 141, 142, 353

Administrative state

as fourth branch of government, 72 difference from courts, 73 expertise and, 69 generally, 1 history of development, 70–72 hybrid nature of, 72, 73 internal functioning of, 46, 373–76 (see also empirical research) nature of, 46 political theory and, 71 process dimensions of, 379–80 theory of development, 69, 72

Administrative tribunals

difference from courts, 73 internal functioning of, 46, 373–76 (see also empirical research) policy-making function of, 72, 93– 155 (see also administrative justice, administrative state)

Administrative Tribunals Act, S.B.C. 2004, c. 45 - see British Columbia Administrative Tribunals Act, S.B.C. 2004, c. 45

Alternative dispute resolution - see mediation

Anisminic Ltd. v. Foreign Compensation Commission, [1969] 2 A.C. 147, 213, 220, 221, 319n

Appointments

consistency and, 358–359 policy-making and, 137, 138, 138– 142 tribunal expertise and, 85–86

Arthurs, Harry, 70, 87n, 90n, 318n, 378, 385n

B

Baker v. Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration) [1999] 2 S.C.R. 817, 7, 25, 33n, 79n, 81, 82, 86, 90n, 103, 122, 123, 124, 132, 133, 145n, 146n, 148n, 150n, 151n, 153n, 229, 230, 244, 258, 282, 284, 322n, 326n, 329n

Barrie Public Utilities v. Canadian Cable Television Association,

[2003] 1 S.C.R. 476, 215, 235, 236, 267, 285, 321n, 324n, 329n, 330n

Bell Canada v. Canadian Telephone Employees Association, [2003] 1 S.C.R. 884

independence, impartiality and, 46, 50–51, 55–59, 61–62n, 63n, 64n, 65n, 66n policy-making and, 95, 112, 118, 134, 135, 138, 143n, 149n, 154n tribunal standing and, 14, 15, 37n

Benefit or entitlement eligibility regimes - see mediation

Bi-party dispute resolution tribunals - see mediation

British Columbia Administrative Justice Project, 319n, 330n

British Columbia Administrative Tribunals Act, S.B.C. 2004, c. 45, 155n, 206n, 289, 301, 313, 316, 319n

British Columbia Human Rights

Tribunal (see also mediation) empirical evaluation of mediation process, 157–212 mediation process, 175–179 mediation statistics, 179–198

Canada (Attorney General) v. Canada (Human Rights Tribunal) (1994), 76 F.T.R. 1, 12, 13, 24, 35n

Canadian Institute for the Administration of Justice (CIAJ)

Administrative Law Roundtables, vii, 2–3, 373, 383 generally, vii, 1–2, 3 39n, 61n, 62n, 86n, 204n, 318n

Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission, 64n

Canadian Pacific Ltd. v. Matsqui Indian Band, [1995] 1 S.C.R. 3, 53, 59, 63n, 64n, 66n, 376

Canadian Union of Public Employees, Local 963 v. New Brunswick Liquor Corporation, [1979] 2 S.C.R. 227 see C.U.P.E. Local 963 v. New Brunswick Liquor Corporation, [1979] 2 S.C.R. 227

Committee for Justice and Liberty v. National Energy Board, [1978] 1 S.C.R. 369, 14, 35n, 63n

Consistency

challenges to achieving, 355-357 definition, 354–355 Human Rights Tribunal of Ontario and, 370-371 measures ("tools") for achieving consistency, 358-364 case management, 363 community expectations, 360-361 guidelines, standard decisions, 362 internal discussions, 361–2 reconsideration and judicial review, 363 recruitment and reappointment, 358-359 training, 360 tribunal integration, 364 mediation and, 166 natural justice concerns, 357–358 policy-making and, 97, 98, 102, 103, 106–112, 131, 132, 147n, 149n purpose, 354 standard of review and, 240, 265 tribunal culture and, 355 tribunal decision making generally and, 351-372, 375, 377, 379

Consolidated-Bathurst Packaging Ltd. and International Woodworkers of America, Local 2-69 et al., Re (1985), 20 D.L.R. (4th) 84, see I.W.A. v. Consolidated-Bathurst Packaging Ltd., [1990] 1 S.C.R. 282

Constitutional constraints

tribunal independence and, 45, 57, 58, 60

Constitutional issues

pragmatic and functional approach and, 235–252 standard of review and, 235–252 tribunal standing and, 18–20 INDEX 393

C.U.P.E. v. Ontario (Ministry of Labour), [2003] 1 S.C.R. 539 (Retired Judges Case), 69, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 96, 139, 140, 143n, 155n, 230, 234, 254, 266, 268, 288, 305, 320n, 321n, 322n, 326n, 329n, 330n, 332n, 379

C.U.P.E. Local 963 v. New Brunswick Liquor Corporation, [1979] 2 S.C.R. 227, 31, 222, 298, 318n

D

Davis, K.C., 97, 102, 144n, 145n

Deference, 309–311

Designing mediation processes - see mediation

Dicey, A.V., 70, 87n, 217, 317n, 385n

Difference between courts and tribunals, 73, 355–357

Director of Investigation and Research v. Southam, [1997] 1 S.C.R. 748,
22, 38n, 72, 74, 88n, 227, 257, 273,
277, 278, 282, 283, 284, 294, 302,
313, 321n, 326n

Discretion, 7, 16, 32, 41n, 51, 77, 82, 83, 84,85, 86, 88n, 92n, 96, 97, 102, 103, 105, 108, 109, 112,113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 120, 122, 123, 124, 126, 127, 128, 139, 140, 148n, 153n,177, 229, 230, 234, 241, 242, 244, 249, 258, 262, 266, 274, 277, 283, 284, 285, 288, 289, 298, 300, 301, 302, 305, 307, 308, 316, 317, 319n, 320n, 322n, 325n, 326n, 342, 343, 347, 357, 373, 376, 381

Dr. Q. v. College of Physicians and Surgeons of British Columbia,
[2003] 1 S.C.R. 226, 214, 228, 235, 254, 256, 280, 281, 282, 286, 311, 319n, 322n, 323n, 329n, 330n,

Dunsmuir v. New Brunswick, **2008 SCC 9**, 318n, 382, 386n

E

Empirical approaches

administrative law research generally and, 373–376 consistency, 351–372, 375 mediation, 157–212, 374–5 tribunal independence and impartiality, 43–66, 375–376

Exclusive and concurrent jurisdiction

Bisaillon v. Concordia University, [2006] 1 S.C.R. 666, 335, 343-347 civil procedure and, 335, 344, 345, 346, 347, 380, 381 concurrent jurisdiction and Tranchemontagne, 339–343 generally, 333-350, 380-381 jurisdictional overlap, 342–343 legislative intent, 339, 340, 341, 342 multiple proceedings, 345–347 presumption of power to decide legal questions, 339–342 Tranchemontagne v. Ontario (Director, Disability Support Program), [2006] 1 S.C.R. 513, 335–337, 339–343, 348n, 349n, 381, 385n

Expert decision-making - see tribunal expertise, expertise

Expertise (see also tribunal expertise) definition, 69, 73–77 expert decision-making, 1, 274–294, 379–380, 381–382, 383 (see also tribunal expertise, expertise) use in tribunal setting, 69, 78–81

Evidence

tribunal affidavit evidence, 27–31 tribunal evidence before the court, 25–31

F

Fourth branch of government, 72

G

Guidelines - see policy-making

H

Human rights agencies, models of enforcement, 159–169

I

Impartiality

tribunal independence and impartiality, 43–66

Independence - see judicial independence, tribunal independence

I.W.A. v. Consolidated-Bathurst Packaging Ltd., [1990] 1 S.C.R.

282, 11, 13, 23n, 27, 28, 35n, 51, 54, 64n, 65n, 95, 106, 107, 130, 131, 143n, 146n, 149n, 153n, 353, 354, 355, 357, 363, 372n, 375, 377, 384n

J

Judicial independence, 47

Judiciary

relationship with administrative tribunals/actors, 7, 72

Jurisdiction

collateral question, 7
exclusive and concurrent, 333–350
(see also exclusive and concurrent jurisdiction)
generally, 7
standard of review and, 225–227

L

Law Society of New Brunswick v. Ryan, [2003] 1 S.C.R. 247, 140, 147n, 213, 214, 228, 232, 280, 282, 284, 298, 301, 308, 311, 319n, 322n, 328n, 330n, 332n

Legislative intent, 7, 56, 59, 72, 84, 121, 154n, 232, 234, 257, 266, 289, 314, 382, 339, 340, 341, 342, 389

M

McKenzie v. Minister of Public Safety and Solicitor General et al. 61 B.C.L.R. (4th) 57, 64n, 65n, 66n

Mediation

benefit or entitlement eligibility regimes, 165–167
bi-party dispute resolution tribunals and, 161–164
complaint-based regulatory enforcement agencies and, 164–
dl6signing mediation processes, 160–175
different administrative settings and, 160–169
empirical analysis of, 157–212

INDEX 395

human rights tribunals and, 157–212 outcomes, 181–186, 196–198 party satisfaction, 186–196 potential for policy or rule-making bodies, 167–168 public interest and, 164–165 purposes, 161–168 role of mediator, 172–174, 177–178 role of parties, 174–175, 178

Multani v. Commission scolaire Marguerite-Bourgeoys, [2006] 1 S.C.R. 256, 237, 248, 323n, 324n

N

Nicholson v. Haldimand-Norfolk Regional Police Commissioners, [1979] 1 S.C.R. 311, 31, 32, 45, 56, 61, 86, 104

Northwestern Utilities Ltd. v. City of Edmonton, [1979] 1 S.C.R. 684, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20, 25, 30, 31, 33n, 34n

\mathbf{O}

Ocean Port Hotel Ltd. v. British
Columbia (Gen. Manager Liquor
Control), [2001] 2 S.C.R. 781
generally, 46, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59,
61n, 62n, 63n, 66n, 72, 87n,
95, 96, 112, 128, 136, 138,
143n, 154n, 206n, 250, 324n,
375, 384n
hybrid nature of tribunals and, 72
policy-making function of tribunals
and, 95, 112, 128, 136, 138
standard of review and, 250
tribunal independence and, 46, 55–
59 (see also tribunal
independence)

Ontario (Children's Lawyer) v.
Ontario (Information and Privacy
Commissioner) (2005), 75 O.R.
(3d) 309 (C.A.), 385n

P

Pezim v. British Columbia (Superintendent of Brokers), [1994] 2 S.C.R. 557, 149n, 227, 277, 278, 282, 284, 302, 313, 321n, 326n

Policy-making

adjudicative tribunals and, 93-156 appointments and, 137, 138, 138-142 Bell Canada v. Canadian Telephone Employees Association, [2003] 1 S.C.R. 884, 95, 112, 118, 134, 135, 138, 143n, 149n, 154n citizens' participation rights, 136-142 citizens' perspective, 128-142 consistency and, 97, 98, 102, 103, 106-112, 131, 132, 147n, 149n courts' perspective, 112-128 fairness, 97, 98, 100, 101, 102-112, 127, 130, 131, 132, 133 legitimacy of, 93-156, 377-378 rule of law and, 96, 103, 120, 121, 124–128, 141 separation of powers and, 120-128 tribunals' perspective, 97–112

Pragmatic and functional approach

judicial review and, 7 standard of review and, 225–227, 227–228, 229–295 tribunal standing and, 5–42, 380

Presumption of proper action by state actors (omnia praesumuntar rita esse), 71, 82

Privative clause - see standard of review

Procedural fairness

generally, 45, 46, 47, 52, 56, 58, 59, 60, 78, 79, 81, 105, 127, 266, 316, 317, 378

Process design context/process considerations, 379–380

Public confidence

generally, 46, 48, 54, 55, 59, 60, 61, 78, 85, 106, 140

Pushpanathan v. Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration), [1998] 1 S.C.R. 982, 7, 22, 33, 73, 74, 88n, 129, 147n, 151n, 213, 214, 215, 228, 230, 231, 233, 234, 235, 236, 253, 255, 257, 259, 260, 262, 263, 264, 266, 270, 272, 273, 274, 277, 286, 288, 289, 293, 294, 299, 300, 302, 311, 314, 315, 321n, 324n, 325n, 327n, 328n, 329n, 331n

R

Relationship between courts and tribunals, 7, 8

Retired Judges Case - see C.U.P.E. v. Ministry of Labour, [2003] 1 S.C.R. 539

Roncarelli v. Duplessis [1959] S.C.R. 121, 83, 86, 96, 102, 140, 305

Rule of law

A.V. Dicey and, 70 administrative law's relationship to, 378 consistency and, 353–54 fairness, predictability and, 103 policy-making and, 96, 103, 121, 124, 124–128, 141 standard of review and, 296–97 three-fold articulation of, 70

S

Separation of powers, 71, 84, 86, 97, 114, 120, 121, 128

Standard of review

appeals vs. judicial review, 228 expertise, 72, 274-294 Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms and, 237-252 complexity of application, 295–302 constitutional questions and, 235– 237 deference, 308-311 determining reasonableness, 302-Disagreements over appropriate standard, 266-270 discretion, 229–230 doubts regarding applicability, 259-266 Dunsmuir v. New Brunswick, 2008 SCC 9, 318n, 382, 386n four factors, 72, 293-295 generally, 213-232, 381-383 jurisdiction, 225–27, 252–253 need to perform pragmatic and functional analysis, 230–259 patent unreasonableness, 299-302 privative clauses and, 270-273 Rule of law and, 296-97

Standing - see tribunal standing

T

Thamotharem v. Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration), [2006] 3 F.C.R. 168, 105, 114, 146n, 148n, 357, 372n, 377

INDEX 397

Toronto (City) v. C.U.P.E., Local 79, [2003] 3 S.C.R. 77, 214, 215, 229, 253, 261, 264, 272, 291, 295, 298, 299, 303, 312, 315, 322n, 326n, 328n, 331n, 350n, 386n

Toshiba Corp. v. Canada (Anti-Dumping Tribunal) (1984), 8 Admin L.R. 173, 78, 79, 90n

Tribunal evidence

tribunal affidavit evidence, 27-31 tribunal evidence before the court, 25 - 31

Tribunal expertise

appointments process and, 85-86 as non-judicial means of implementation, 73, 75 as specialized knowledge, 73, 74–5 as special procedure, 73, 75 C.U.P.E. v. Ministry of Labour, [2003 1 S.C.R. 539 (Retired Judges Case) and, 82-85 deference and, 73 definition, 69, 73-77 generally, 68-92, 379-380, 381-382, 383 individual expertise, 75–6, 82 institutional characteristic, 75–6, 82 integrated tribunals and, 77 juridical notion of, 69 justiciability of, 69, 81-86 natural justice concerns and, 78-81 new governance (public-private governance) and, 76–77 official notice and, 69 political theory of, 69, 70–72 presumption of proper action by state actors (omnia praesumuntar rita esse) and, 71,82 procedural fairness concerns and, 78 - 81relative expertise, 82 review for notional vs. actual expertise, 82–85 staff studies and, 69, 78-81

standard of review and, 82, 274theory of development, 72-73 use within tribunal setting, 69, 78-81

Tribunal impartiality

Bell Canada v. Canadian Telephone Employees Association, [2003] 1 S.C.R. 884 and, 57-59 challenges to, 49-51 critiques of test, 51-55 doctrine of, 47-49 institutional bias, 50 relationship to tribunal independence, 47-49 (see also tribunal independence and) test for, 48 tribunal independence and, 43-66, 47-49

Tribunal independence

Bell Canada v. Canadian Telephone Employees Association, [2003] 1 S.C.R. 884, 57-59 challenges to, 49-51 constitutional/quasi-constitutional constraints and, 56-59 critique of judicial paradigm and, 51 - 52critiques of test, 51–55 doctrine of, 47-51 empirical research and, 52-55 generally, 43-66 governmental interference, 49 impartiality and, 47–49 impartiality distinguished from, 47–48 individual independence, 50-51 judicial independence and, 47, 49– judicial paradigm and, 49 narrower view of independence, 49-50

Ocean Port Hotel Ltd. v. British Columbia (Gen. Manager Liquor Control), [2001] 2 S.C.R. 781, 55-59 operational context, 50, 53–55 reasonable, well-informed person, 52 - 55structural independence, 49-50 test for, 48 three conditions of independence (security of tenure, financial security, administrative control), 49, 51-52, 56, 64n, wider view of independence, 50-51

Tribunal record

as evidence before the court, 25–27

Tribunal representation - see tribunal standing, tribunal evidence standard of review and, 20-24

Tribunal standing

BCGEU v. Industrial Relations Council (1988), 26 B.C.L.R. (2d) 145, 9, 10, 20, 21, 22, 23, 34n CAIMAW v. Paccar of Canada Ltd., [1989] 2 S.C.R. 983, 8, 9, 10, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 31, 33-34n, 37n, 38n, 231, 320n Canada (Attorney General) v. Canada (Human Rights Tribunal) (1994), 76 F.T.R. 1, 12, 24, 35n expertise and, 380 generally, 5-42, 8-25, 379-80 jurisdiction, constitutional challenges and, 18-20 jurisdiction/merits divide, 21, 24 jurisdiction, natural justice and, 10 - 14jurisdiction to embark on inquiry, 8 legislative intent and, 11 Northwestern Utilities Ltd. v. City of Edmonton, [1979] 1 S.C.R. 684, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14,

15, 17, 18, 20, 25, 30, 31, 33n, Ontario (Children's Lawyer) v. Ontario (Information and Privacy Commissioner) (2005), 75 O.R. (3d) 309 (C.A.), 385n pragmatic and functional approach to standing, 11–13, 17, 23–24 reasonable apprehension of bias cases and, 14-18

V

Voice Construction Ltd. v. Construction & General Workers' Union, Local 92, [2004] 1 S.C.R. **609**, 213, 214, 215, 229, 232, 266, 268, 269, 271, 272, 273, 290, 295, 297, 301, 312, 313, 322n, 325n, 327n, 331n, 386n



Willis, John, 72–73, 88n, 91n